

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/850,162	05/08/2001	Tatsuyuki Saito	501.39868X00	9657	
20457	7590 12/16/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			POMPEY, RON EVERETT		
1300 NORTH SUITE 1800	I SEVENTEENTH STRE	ET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			2812		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	«Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/850,162	SAITO ET AL.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
•7	Ron E Pompey	2812	\mathcal{M}				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	implifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment t				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-17, 37 and 39-58.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							

Continuation She t (PTOL-303) 09/850,162





Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitation of "cleaning a surface of said first insulating film after said step (d)" raises new issue and reguires further consideration and/or search.

John F. Niebling Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800